

The solutions manual - Chapters 5 & 6  
A College Course on Relativity and Cosmology by Ta-Pei Cheng

**(5.1) Coordinate choice:** Clearly the ideal choice of coordinate system often depends on the task at hand. Consider the calculation in the space of a 2D plane of the circumference of a circle of radius  $R$  ( $2\pi R$  of course). It is easy in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , but rather complicated in Cartesian coordinates  $(x, y)$ . Carry out the calculations in both coordinate systems.

**5.1A** (a) In polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , we have for  $r = R$

$$s = \int ds = \int_0^{2\pi} R d\theta = 2\pi R. \quad (\text{E.108})$$

(b) In Cartesian system  $(x, y)$  with origin at the center of the circle,

$$x^2 + y^2 = R^2, \quad (\text{E.109})$$

we just calculate the circumference of the first quadrant with both coordinates having the range of  $(0, R)$ :

$$s_4 = \int \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2} = \int dx \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^2}. \quad (\text{E.110})$$

Differentiating (E.109) we have

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{x}{y} = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}} \quad (\text{E.111})$$

leading to

$$s = 4s_4 = 4R \int_0^R \frac{dx}{\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}} = 4R \left[ \sin^{-1} \frac{x}{R} \right]_0^R = 2\pi R. \quad (\text{E.112})$$

**(5.2) Cylindrical coordinate metric:** Find the metric tensor for the cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, \phi)$  on a 2-sphere. Suggestion: From Fig. 5.1 note that the radial coordinate is related to the polar angle by  $\rho = R \sin \theta$ ; then show that

$$g_{ab}^{(\rho, \phi)} = \begin{pmatrix} R^2 / (R^2 - \rho^2) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{E.113})$$

**5.2A** Since the cylindrical radial coordinate is related to the polar angle by  $\rho = R \sin \theta$ , we have  $d\rho = R \cos \theta d\theta = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta} R d\theta$ , thus  $d\rho^2 = (1 - \rho^2/R^2) R^2 d\theta^2$ . Sub this into the polar coordinate metric

$$\begin{aligned} [ds^2]_{(\theta, \phi)} &= R^2 d\theta^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \\ &= \frac{R^2}{R^2 - \rho^2} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2 = [ds^2]_{(\rho, \phi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.114})$$

- (5.3) Transformation in curved space:** Find the coordinate transformation matrix  $[\Lambda]$  (i.e., showing its coordinate-dependence) that changes the polar coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$  to the cylindrical  $(\rho, \phi)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\rho \\ d\phi \end{pmatrix} = [\Lambda] \begin{pmatrix} d\theta \\ d\phi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{E.115})$$

- 5.3A** Since one of the coordinates is unchanged, clearly we have  $[\Lambda]_{\phi\phi} = 1$  and  $[\Lambda]_{\rho\phi} = [\Lambda]_{\phi\theta} = 0$ . From Ex.(5.2) we see that  $d\rho = R \cos \theta d\theta$ , hence  $[\Lambda]_{\rho\theta} = R \cos \theta$ . In this way we have the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\rho \\ d\phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d\theta \\ d\phi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{E.116})$$

- (5.4) Geodesics on simple surfaces:** Use the geodesic equation.(5.25) to confirm the familiar results that the geodesic is (a) a straight line on a flat plane and (b) a great circle on a spherical surface. Suggestion: For the case (b), working out the full parametrization can be complicated; just check that the great circle given by  $\phi = \text{constant}$  and  $\theta = \alpha + \beta\tau$  solves the relevant geodesic equation.

- 5.4A** (a) **Flat plane:** For this 2D space with Cartesian coordinates  $(x^1, x^2) = (x, y)$ , the metric  $g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ . The second term in the geodesic equation (5.25) vanishes, as well as the two components of the equation  $d\dot{x}^\nu/d\sigma$

$$\ddot{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{y} = 0, \quad (\text{E.117})$$

which have respective solutions of

$$x = A + B\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad y = C + D\sigma. \quad (\text{E.118})$$

They can be combined as

$$y = \alpha + \beta x \quad (\text{E.119})$$

with  $(A, B, C, D)$  and  $(\alpha, \beta)$  being constants. We recognize this as the equation for a straight line.

- (b) **Spherical surface:** For a 2-sphere, we choose the coordinates  $(x^1, x^2) = (\theta, \phi)$  with a metric given by (5.8) For the  $\theta$  component of the geodesic equation (5.25) is

$$\ddot{\theta} = \sin \theta \cos \theta \dot{\phi}^2, \quad (\text{E.120})$$

the  $\phi$  component equation,

$$2 \sin \theta \cos \theta \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} + \sin^2 \theta \ddot{\phi} = 0. \quad (\text{E.121})$$

Instead of working out the full parametric solution, we will just check that  $\phi = \text{constant}$  and  $\theta = \alpha + \beta\sigma$  solve these two equations. Clearly these solutions describe longitudinal great circles on the sphere

**(6.1) Gaussian curvature is coordinate-independent:** Check the coordinate-independence of the curvature (a) for a flat plan in Cartesian and in polar coordinates, and (b) for a spherical surface with radius  $R$  in polar coordinates and in cylindrical coordinates, by plugging in their respective metrics, (6.6), (5.8) and (5.9), into the curvature formula (6.7).

**6.1A** (a) For Cartesian coordinates, the metric is constant; so clearly we have  $K_{k=0}^{(x,y)} = 0$ . If we use a polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  with  $g_{11} = 1$  and  $g_{22} = r^2$  the curvature formula (6.7) yields

$$K_{k=0}^{(r,\theta)} = \frac{1}{2r^2} \left\{ -2 + \frac{1}{2r^2} [0 + 4r^2] \right\} = 0. \quad (\text{E.122})$$

(b) For sphere with the polar coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$  of a spherical surface, we have from (5.8)  $g_{11} = R^2$  and  $g_{22} = R^2 \sin^2 \theta$ , the formula (6.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} K_{k=1}^{(\theta,\phi)} &= \frac{1}{2R^4 \sin^2 \theta} \left\{ 0 - 2R^2 \cos 2\theta + 0 + \frac{1}{2R^2 \sin^2 \theta} [0 + R^4 \sin^2 2\theta] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2R^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left\{ -2R^2 (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{2R^2} R^4 4 \cos^2 \theta \right\} = \frac{1}{R^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.123})$$

For the cylinder coordinates  $(\rho, \phi)$  on a spherical surface, we have from and (5.9).  $g_{11} = R^2/(R^2 - \rho^2)$  and  $g_{22} = \rho^2$ . This leads to the same result:

$$K_{k=1}^{(\rho,\phi)} = \frac{(R^2 - \rho^2)}{2R^2 \rho^2} \left\{ 0 - 2 + \left[ \frac{2\rho^2}{(R^2 - \rho^2)} + 0 \right] + \frac{1}{2\rho^2} [0 + 4\rho^2] \right\} = \frac{1}{R^2}. \quad (\text{E.124})$$

**(6.2) Pseudosphere metric from embedding coordinates:** (i) Recover the result (5.8) for the metric  $g_{ab}$  of a 2D  $k = +1$  surface in the Gaussian coordinates  $(x^{1,2} = \theta, \phi)$  by way of its 3D embedding coordinates  $X^i(\theta, \phi)$  as shown in (5.3). From the invariant interval in the 3D embedding space, extended to the Gaussian coordinate differentials by the chain rule of differentiation, we have

$$ds^2 = \delta_{ij} dX^i dX^j = \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^b} dx^a dx^b. \quad (\text{E.125})$$

By comparing this to (5.5) you can identify the metric for the 2D space:

$$g_{ab} = \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^b}, \quad (\text{E.126})$$

which can be viewed as the transformation of the metric from Cartesian to the polar coordinates (ii) For a  $k = -1$  2D pseudosphere its hyperbolic Gaussian coordinates  $(x^{1,2} = \psi, \phi)$  can be related to the 3D embedding coordinates, in analogy with (5.3), by

$$X^1 = R \sinh \psi \cos \phi, \quad X^2 = R \sinh \psi \sin \phi, \quad X^3 = R \cosh \psi. \quad (\text{E.127})$$

This embedding space has the metric  $\eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$ . Follow the above steps to deduce the 2D space metric of

$$g_{ab}^{(\psi, \phi)} = R^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{E.128})$$

(iii) Show that this metric leads, via (6.7), to a negative Gaussian curvature of  $K = -1/R^2$ . (iv) Show that while the circumference of a circle with radius  $r$  on a spherical surface is  $2\pi R \sin(r/R)$ , which is smaller than  $2\pi r$  as in Fig. 6.1(a), on a hypersphere it is  $2\pi R \sinh(r/R)$ , and hence greater than  $2\pi r$ . (v) A  $k = +1$  2D sphere has no boundary but a finite area:  $A_2 = \int ds_\theta ds_\phi = 4\pi R^2$ . Similarly demonstrate that a  $k = -1$  2D pseudosphere has no boundary but an area that is infinite:  $\hat{A}_2 = \int ds_\psi ds_\phi = \infty$ .

6.2A i. For 2-sphere in polar coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g_{11} &= \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} \\ &= R^2 \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + R^2 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + R^2 \sin^2 \theta = R^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.129})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{22} &= \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} \\ &= R^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + R^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi = R^2 \sin^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.130})$$

ii. For 2-pseudosphere in hyperbolic coordinates  $(\psi, \phi)$  as shown in (E.127):

$$\begin{aligned} g_{11} &= \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^1} \\ &= R^2 \cosh^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + R^2 \cosh^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi - R^2 \sinh^2 \theta = R^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.131})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{22} &= \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial X^1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial X^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial X^3}{\partial x^2} \\ &= R^2 \sinh^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + R^2 \sinh^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi = R^2 \sinh^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.132})$$

iii. For the hyperbolic coordinates  $(\psi, \phi)$  on a 2D surface, we have, from  $g_{11} = R^2$  and  $g_{22} = R^2 \sinh^2 \theta$ , the Gaussian curvature (6.7):

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{1}{2R^4 \sinh^2 \theta} \left\{ 0 - 2R^2 \cosh 2\theta + 0 + \frac{1}{2R^2 \sinh^2 \theta} [0 + R^4 \sinh^2 2\theta] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2R^2 \sinh^2 \theta} \left\{ -2R^2 (\cosh^2 \theta + \sinh^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{2R^2} R^4 \cosh^{2=\pi/s} \theta \right\} = -\frac{1}{R^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.133})$$

iv. Given (E.129) we have

$$ds_\theta^2 = g_{11}d\theta^2 = R^2 d\theta^2, \quad ds_\phi^2 = g_{22}d\phi^2 = R^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \quad (\text{E.134})$$

we have the circumference of a circle on a 2-sphere

$$C_2 = \int ds_\phi = R \sin \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi = 2\pi R \sin \left( \frac{r}{R} \right); \quad (\text{E.135})$$

on a 2-pseudosphere

$$\tilde{C}_2 = \int ds_\phi = R \sinh \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi = 2\pi R \sinh \left( \frac{r}{R} \right) \quad (\text{E.136})$$

v. The area of a 2D sphere with radius  $R$

$$A_2 = \int ds_\theta ds_\phi = R^2 \int_{-1}^1 d \cos \theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi = 4\pi R^2. \quad (\text{E.137})$$

Similarly for the 2D hypersphere with

$$ds_\psi^2 = R^2 d\psi^2, \quad ds_\phi^2 = R^2 \sinh^2 \psi d\phi^2 \quad (\text{E.138})$$

we have

$$\tilde{A}_2 = \int ds_\psi ds_\phi = R^2 \int_1^\infty d \cosh \psi \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi = \infty. \quad (\text{E.139})$$

**(6.3) Light deflection from solving the geodesic equation:** Take the following steps to obtain the bending of light result shown in (6.77): (a) Identify the two constants of motion. (b) Express the  $L = 0$  equation in terms of these constants. (c) Changing the curve parameter differential  $d\tau \rightarrow d\phi$  and by changing the radial distance variable to its inverse  $u \equiv 1/r$ , you should find the light trajectory to obey

$$u'' + u - \epsilon u^2 = 0, \quad (\text{E.140})$$

where  $u'' = d^2u/d\phi^2$  and  $\epsilon = 3r^*/2$ . (d) Solve (E.140) by perturbation:  $u = u_0 + \epsilon u_1$ . Suggestion: Parameterize the first-order perturbation solution as  $u_1 = \alpha + \beta \cos 2\phi$ ; then fix the constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . (e) From this solution of the orbit  $r(\phi)$  for the light trajectory, one can deduce the angular deflection  $\delta\phi$  result of (6.77) by comparing the directions of the initial and final asymptotes ( $r = \infty$  at  $\phi_i = \pi/2 + \delta\phi/2$ , and  $\phi_f = -\pi/2 - \delta\phi/2$ ) as shown in Fig. 6.12(b).

**6.3A** To obtain the result (6.77) via the geodesic equation, we take the following steps.

- (a) For a fixed  $x^\alpha = (ct, \phi)$ , We have two constants of motion  $\partial L/\partial \dot{x}^\alpha$  with  $L = g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu$ . For  $x^\alpha = x^0$ , we have  $g_{\mu\nu} \partial(\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu)/\partial \dot{x}^0 = 2g_{00} \dot{x}^0$ ; similarly for  $x^\alpha = \phi$ , we have  $g_{\phi\phi} \dot{\phi}$ . Since  $g_{00} = -(1 - r^*/r)$  and  $g_{\phi\phi} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta = r^2$  as  $\theta$  is fixed to be  $\pi/2$ , we call these two constants  $\kappa \equiv (1 - r^*/r) ct = -g_{00} \dot{x}^0$ ; and  $j \equiv r^2 \dot{\phi}$ .
- (b) Express the RHS of (6.79) in terms of  $\kappa$  and  $j$  in the  $L = 0$  equation:  
 $L = g_{00} (\dot{x}^0)^2 - \dot{r}^2/g_{00} + g_{\phi\phi} \dot{\phi}^2 = \kappa^2/g_{00} - \dot{r}^2/g_{00} + r^2 \dot{\phi}^2 = 0$  which can be written as

$$\dot{r}^2 + \frac{j^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{r^*}{r}\right) = \kappa^2. \quad (\text{E.141})$$

- (c) Since  $j d\tau = r^2 d\phi$  or  $1/d\tau = j/(r^2 d\phi)$ , we get

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \frac{j}{r^2} \frac{dr}{d\phi}. \quad (\text{E.142})$$

Then with  $u = 1/r$ ,

$$u' = \frac{dr^{-1}}{d\phi} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{dr}{d\phi} = -\frac{\dot{r}}{j}. \quad (\text{E.143})$$

or  $(u')^2 = \dot{r}^2/j^2$  so that (E.141) may be re-written as

$$(u')^2 + u^2 - r^* u^3 = \frac{\kappa^2}{j^2}. \quad (\text{E.144})$$

By a simple differentiation with respect to  $\phi$ , this equation becomes

$$u'' + u - \epsilon u^2 = 0, \quad \text{with } \epsilon = 3r^*/2. \quad (\text{E.145})$$

- (d) Solve (E.145) by perturbation  $u = u_0 + \epsilon u_1$ .

$$(u_0'' + u_0) + \epsilon (u_1'' + u_1 - u_0^2) = 0. \quad (\text{E.146})$$

The zeroth order equation  $u_0'' = -u_0$  is a simple harmonic oscillator equation with unit angular frequency and has the solution

$$u_0 = \frac{\cos \phi}{r_{\min}}, \quad (\text{E.147})$$

which is a straight-line  $r_0 = r_{\min}/\cos \phi$  going from  $r_0 = \infty$  with  $\phi_i = \pi/2$  to  $r_0 = \infty$  with  $\phi_f = -\pi/2$  as shown in Fig. 6.12(a). For the first order equation

$$\frac{d^2 u_1}{d\phi^2} + u_1 - \frac{1 + \cos 2\phi}{2r_{\min}^2} = 0 \quad (\text{E.148})$$

we try the form of  $u_1 = \alpha + \beta \cos 2\phi$  so that

$$-4\beta \cos 2\phi + \alpha + \beta \cos 2\phi - \frac{1}{2r_{\min}^2} - \frac{\cos 2\phi}{2r_{\min}^2} = 0, \quad (\text{E.149})$$

which fixes the constants  $\alpha = 1/2r_{\min}^2$ ,  $\beta = -1/6r_{\min}^2$ . In this way one finds the result, accurate up to the first order in  $r^*$ , of a bent trajectory:

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\cos \phi}{r_{\min}} + \frac{r^*}{r_{\min}^2} \frac{3 - \cos 2\phi}{4}. \quad (\text{E.150})$$

- (e) From this expression for the light trajectory  $r(\phi)$ , one can deduce the angular deflection  $\delta\phi$ , cf. Fig. 6.12(b), by plugging in (either the initial or final) asymptote  $r = \infty$ , and  $\phi_i = \pi/2 + \delta\phi/2$

$$0 \simeq -\frac{\sin \delta\phi/2}{r_{\min}} + \frac{r^*}{r_{\min}^2} \frac{3 + 1}{4} \simeq \frac{-1}{r_{\min}} \left( \frac{\delta\phi}{2} - \frac{r^*}{r_{\min}} \right), \quad (\text{E.151})$$

which leads to the result of (6.77) of  $\delta\phi = 2r^*/r_{\min}$ .

**7.2A** Here one wants the outgoing light geodesics be represented by  $45^\circ$  world-lines  $cd\tilde{t} = dr$ , instead of  $cd\bar{t} = -dr$ . This suggests that in the  $ds^2 = 0$